

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

LARRY D. REAVES,

Plaintiff,

v.

SECOND JUDICIAL SECURITY  
OFFICERS,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00557-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:14-cv-00594-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:14-cv-00658-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:14-cv-00671-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:15-cv-00027-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:15-cv-00033-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:15-cv-00049-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:15-cv-00052-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:15-cv-00053-MMD-WGC  
Case No. 3:15-cv-00078-MMD-WGC

ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING  
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
WILLIAM G. COBB

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 3) ("R&R") relating to plaintiff's initiation of forty-seven separate cases in this District. The Defendants include various entities, including the Department of Veteran Affairs, Second Judicial Security Officers, Western Union, VA Police, VA Sierra Nevada Healthcare Services, VA Medical Center, the Social Security Administration, Men's Shelter of Reno, Nevada, Barak Obama, TEAM, AMVET, JOH Blankenship, Criminal Division, Judge, District Attorney, San Mateo County, Veterans Services, Reno International Airport, Delta Airlines, Southwest Airlines, U.S. Airways, Inc., American Airlines, TSA, Amtrak, VA Regional Offices, Hilton Gardens Inn, DAV, Motel 6, Jose Rivero, Mrs. Becky, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Navy Reserve. Plaintiff had until

1 March 10, 2015, to object to the R&R. Plaintiff filed his objection on March 13, 2015 (dkt.  
2 no. 4).

3 This Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
4 recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party  
5 timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is  
6 required to “make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and  
7 recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Plaintiff’s objection  
8 was not timely.

9 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to  
10 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cooke’s R&R. The R&R points out that in  
11 this action, the Court ordered that Plaintiff either pay the filing fee or submit a proper  
12 application to proceed in forma pauperis. (3:14-cv-00557-MMD-WGC, dkt. no. 3.) In  
13 response to the Court’s order, Plaintiff submitted an IFP application, but it is largely  
14 illegible, does not state facts as to his poverty with any particularity, and does not appear  
15 to have been signed by Plaintiff under penalty of perjury. (3:14-cv-00557-MMD-WGC,  
16 dkt. no. 4.) As further discussed in Magistrate Judge Cobb’s R&R, for the most part, the  
17 “initiating documents” consist of pages that appear to vaguely-mimic the format of a  
18 complaint, sometimes including a caption, but then just contain random words such as  
19 “terrorism” and “corruption,” or dates and numbers with scribbled notes that are by and  
20 large indecipherable. (See *id.*) Some of the “initiating documents” also include copies of  
21 blank forms from various government agencies such as instructions for depositing social  
22 security checks (see *id.*), forms regarding identity theft and instructions from the Social  
23 Security Administration (see, e.g., 3:14-cv-00658-MMD-WGC, dkt. no. 1-1), and even  
24 receipts from fast food restaurants and other stores (see dkt. no. 3:14-cv-00595-RCJ-  
25 WGC at dkt. no. 1-1 at 7). Others contain a caption page and nothing else. (See 3:14-cv-  
26 00659-RCJ-WGC at dkt. no. 1-1, 3:15-cv-00052-MMD-WGC at dkt. no. 1-1.) The Court  
27 agrees that each of these actions should be dismissed because they are clearly frivolous  
28 and fail to state any claim upon which relief may be granted. The actions are

1 incomprehensible, and contain no factual allegations, but merely words on pages,  
2 occasionally accompanied by a document that may or may not have any relevance to  
3 the words contained on the associated pages. Upon reviewing the R&R and Plaintiff's  
4 filings in his cases before this Court, this Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the  
5 Magistrate Judge's R&R in full.

6 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and  
7 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 8) is accepted and  
8 adopted in its entirety.

9 It is further ordered that each of plaintiff's complaints listed above is dismissed  
10 with prejudice.

11 The Clerk is directed to close this case.

12 DATED THIS 28<sup>th</sup> day of April 2015.  
13



---

MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28